Firm Mergers, Structural Change and Partner Mobility

How combinations and platform redesign are reshaping the London lateral market

Max Bromwich
Senior Consultant
mbromwich@fortstratford.com
+44 (0)7510 372628
+44 (0)208 129 7494

2025 was the year of one of the most powerful underlying drivers of lateral hiring: law firm mergers and the resulting structural re-engineering. These events generate multi-year mobility cycles that affect partner retention, recruitment strategy and competitive positioning well beyond the headline transaction.

This report examines how transatlantic combinations are influencing partner movement patterns, why merger-driven attrition is structurally different from opportunistic lateral hiring, and what the implications are for the London market through 2026 and beyond.

MERGERS AS A STRUCTURAL, NOT CYCLICAL, DRIVER OF PARTNER MOVES

From 'event risk' to continuous mobility

Historically, law firm mergers were treated as one-off shocks: a short spike in partner departures followed by stabilisation. That model no longer holds, with recent combinations increasingly trigger rolling waves of mobility across a 24–36 month period.

Key reasons include:

- Larger scale and greater practice overlap
- Increased use of two-tier and modified partnership structures
- Heightened partner sensitivity to PEP dilution, governance changes and capital calls
- Greater transparency of lateral opportunities in a highly active market
- Erosion or dilution of decision making centres

TRANSATLANTIC COMBINATIONS AND THE LONDON MARKET

London as the pressure point

London has become the primary stress-testing ground for transatlantic mergers. This is where:
- US and UK remuneration systems collide
- Overlapping finance, disputes and corporate practices are hardest to reconcile
- Global ambitions often do not align with local profitability realities.

Cultural integration vs economic alignment

Most post-merger attrition is driven less by cultural issues and more by economic realignment, including:
- Re-baselining of partner compensation
- Changes to credit allocation and origination recognition
- Shifts in capital contribution expectations
- Revised promotion and succession frameworks
- Practice group leadership being shared between leaders of each legacy firm

These changes disproportionately affect:
- Mid-career equity partners
- Partners in overlapping core practices (finance, disputes, corporate)
- Partners whose client base is geographically concentrated in London

MERGER-DRIVEN ATTRITION: THE 'SECOND WAVE' EFFECT

Why the second wave matters more than the first

Initial merger fallout often attracts attention: senior departures, leadership exits, and visible team moves. However, the second wave—typically 12–24 months post-completion—is often larger and more strategically significant, given that it is effectively a 'vote of no confidence' in the project.
This phase is characterised by:
- Partners reassessing performance under the new structure
- Delayed dissatisfaction once financial outcomes crystallise
- Opportunistic approaches from competitors targeting known dislocation points

Crucially, these later moves often involve productive partners with established books, rather than those who were already inclined to depart

Why this is under-represented in standard partner move data

Standard partner-moves reporting captures where partners land, but not why they moved. Merger-driven exits are often indistinguishable from organic laterals in headline statistics, masking:

- Concentrated attrition from specific merged firms
- Practice-level stress within otherwise 'successful' combinations

STRUCTURAL SHIFTS BEYOND MERGERS

Partnership redesign as a mobility catalyst

Even absent formal mergers, many firms are undertaking structural re-engineering, including:

- Expansion of non-equity partnership tiers
- Revised lockstep or modified merit systems
- Tighter performance thresholds for equity retention

These changes can produce merger-like mobility outcomes, particularly where long-standing expectations are reset.

Capital intensity and lateral friction

Rising capital requirements—driven by technology investment, global expansion and risk management—are increasing lateral friction:

- Some partners opt to exit rather than re-commit capital
- Others leverage mobility to reset capital terms elsewhere
- Firms become more selective, favouring portable revenue over seniority

IMPLICATIONS FOR THE LONDON PARTNER MARKET

Why merger activity sustains elevated mobility

Even if headline merger volumes plateau, the after-effects of recent combinations are likely to sustain above-average partner movement through 2026 because:

- Integration timelines are lengthening
- Economic divergence becomes clearer over time
- Competitor firms are increasingly sophisticated in targeting post-merger vulnerabilities

Strategic opportunities for hiring firms

Firms not currently involved in mergers can exploit this environment by:

- Monitoring post-combination compensation changes
- Targeting practice groups with duplicated leadership
- Being positioned around stability and clarity, rather than an aggressive growth story

CONCLUSION

Firm mergers, collapses and structural redesign are no longer rare disruptive episodes. They are now core engines of partner mobility in the London market. As transatlantic combinations continue, partnership models evolve, and capital intensity rises, merger-driven attrition will remain a defining feature of the lateral landscape. Understanding these dynamics is essential not just for interpreting past data, but for anticipating where the next waves of partner movement are most likely to emerge.